

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/019,120	01/30/2002	Rauno Rantanen	3397-111PUS	1903	
7.	590 12/17/2002				
Michael C Stuart			EXAMINER		
Cohen Pontani Lieberman & Pavane Suite 1210			PIANALTO, BERNARD D		
551 Fifth Aven New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			1762	1)	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002 / ✓		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	~	10/019,120	RANTANEN, RAUNO
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Bernard D Pianalto	1762
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is is is one of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 l	<u>December 2002</u> .	
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowards and accordance with the practice under		
· _	on of Claims		
-	Claim(s) <u>20-67</u> is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>20-67</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a alaakian maaniman ant	
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) 📙 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	,— ,	
□.	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.
12)□:	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	annen.	
	Inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a mada alko o cala a OS II O O O O	140(-) (1) (0
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	i priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	1 19(a)-(a) or (ī).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	- h h	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		diantian Na
	2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• •	
* S	application from the International Bu tee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	* *	
ttachment		•	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Tr O-326 (Re	ademark Office v. 04-01) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 12

Application/Control Number: 10/019,120

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Sundholm et al. This reference discloses in figures 1, 4, 5 and col. 3, line 35 to col. 4, line 40 a method of treating a moving substrate with jet sprays from a plate having multiple openings. It is the examiner's opinion that the claimed method is anticipated by the method of the reference.

Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Sundholm et at for the same reason as urged in the above paragraph. It is the examiner's opinion that the claimed apparatus is anticipated by the reference apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-56 and 58-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sundholm et al for the same reasons suggested above. It is the

Application/Control Number: 10/019,120

Art Unit: 1762

examiner's opinion that the limitations of these dependent claims are conventional and

Page 3

do not render these claims unobvious.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bernard D Pianalto whose telephone number is 703 308

2332. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-6:00 Mon-Wed.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on 703 308 2333. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310

for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306

5665.

12

December 16, 2002

BERNARD PIANALTO